Dependency and Directionality

Marcel den Dikken

Department of English Linguistics • SEAS • Eötvös Loránd University
Research Institute for Linguistics • Hungarian Academy of Sciences

References

References

Abels, Klaus. 2003. Successive cyclicity, anti-locality, and adposition stranding. PhD dissertation, University of Connecticut, Storrs.

Abels, Klaus. 2012. *Phases. An essay on cyclicity in syntax*. Berlin: de Gruyter.

Abrusán, Márta. 2011. *Wh*-islands in degree questions: A semantic approach. *Semantics & Pragmatics* 4. 1–44.

Acquaviva, Paolo. 1997. *The logical form of negation: A study of operator-variable structures in syntax*. Garland outstanding dissertations in linguistics. New York: Garland.

Aelbrecht, Lobke & Marcel den Dikken. 2013. Preposition doubling in Flemish dialects and its implications for the syntax of Dutch PPs. *Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics* 16. 33–68.

Akmajian, Adrian. 1984. Sentence types and the form-function fit. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 2: 1–23.

Allwood, Jens. 1982. The complex NP constraint in Swedish. In Elisabet Engdahl & Eva Ejerhed (eds), *Readings in unbounded dependencies in Scandinavian languages*. Stockholm: Almqvist and Wiksell International. 15-32.

Alrenga, Peter. 2005. A sentential subject asymmetry in English and its implications for complement selection. *Syntax* 8. 175–207.

Arsenijević, Boban. 2009. Clausal complementation as relativization. *Lingua* 119. 39–50.

Asudeh, Ash & Ida Toivonen. 2012. Copy raising and perception. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 30. 321–80.

Baker, Mark. 1988. *Incorporation. A theory of grammatical function changing*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Baker, Mark. 2008. *The syntax of agreement and concord*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Baltin, Mark. 1995. Floating quantifiers, PRO and predication. *Linguistic Inquiry* 26. 199–248

Baltin, Mark. 2006. The non-unity of VP preposing. *Language* 82. 734–66.

Barbiers, Sjef. 2002. Microvariation in negation in varieties of Dutch. In Sjef Barbiers, Leonie Cornips & Susanne van der Kleij (eds), *Syntactic microvariation*. Meertens Institute Electronic Publications in Linguistics. 13–40.

Barbiers, Sjef, Olaf Koeneman & Marika Lekakou. 2009. Syntactic doubling and the structure of wh-chains. *Journal of Linguistics* 45. 1–46.

Beck, Sigrid. 1996. Quantified structures as barriers for LF-movement. *Natural Language Semantics* 4. 1–56.

Beek, Janneke ter. 2008. Dutch indefinites, word order, and the mapping hypothesis. *Groninger Arbeiten zur germanistischen Linguistik* 46. 55–72.

Bentzen, Kristine. 2014. Subject and object extraction from embedded clauses. *Nordic Atlas of Language Structures (NALS) Journal* 1. 435–46

Bhatt, Rajesh. 2005. Long-distance agreement in Hindi-Urdu. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 23.

Biberauer, Theresa, Anders Holmberg & Ian Roberts. 2014. A syntactic universal and its consequences. *Linguistic Inquiry* 45. 169–225.

Bjorkman, Bronwyn & Hedde Zeijlstra. 2014. Upward Agree is superior. Ms., University of Toronto & Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

Borovikoff, Natalie. 1997. Negated adjunct prases are REALLY the genitive of negation. In Martina Lindseth & Steven Franks (eds), *Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics 5*, Ann Arbor. 67–85.

Bošković, Željko. 1997. Superiority effects with multiple *wh*-fronting in Serbo-Croatian. *Lingua* 102. 1–20.

Bošković, Željko. 1998. Multiple wh-fronting and economy of derivation. In *Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics* 16. Stanford, CA: Stanford University. 49–63.

Bošković, Željko. 2002. On multiple Wh-fronting. *Linguistic Inquiry* 33. 351–83.

Bošković, Željko. 2003. Agree, phases, and intervention effects. *Linguistic Analysis* 33. 54–96.

Bošković, Željko. 2005. On the locality of left branch extraction and the structure of NP. *Studia Linguistica* 59. 1–45.

Bošković, Željko & Howard Lasnik. 2003. On the distribution of null complementizers. *Linguistic Inquiry* 34. 527–46.

Bowers, John. 2010. *Arguments as relations*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Branigan, Phil & Marguerite MacKenzie. 2002. Altruism, A-bar movement and object agreement in Innu-aimûn. *Linguistic Inquiry* 33. 385–407.

Bresnan, Joan. 1976. On the form and functioning of transformations. *Linguistic Inquiry* 7. 3–40.

Bresnan, Joan. 1977. Variables in the theory of transformations. In Peter Culicover, Thomas Wasow & Adrian Akmajian (eds), *Formal syntax*. New York: Academic Press. 157–96.

Brody, Michael. 1995. *Lexico-logical form: A radically minimalist approach*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Broekhuis, Hans. 1992. Chain Government: Issues in Dutch syntax. PhD dissertation, University of Amsterdam. The Hague: Holland Academic Graphics.

Bromberger, Sylvain. 1992. *On what we know we don't know: Explanation, theory, linguistics, and how questions shape them*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bruening, Benjamin. 2001. Syntax at the edge: Cross-clausal phenomena and the syntax of Passamaquoddy. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Bruening, Benjamin. 2004. Two types of *wh*-scope marking in Passamaquoddy. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 22. 229–305.

Bruening, Benjamin. 2006. Differences between the *wh*-scope-marking and *wh*-copy constructions in Passamaquoddy. *Linguistic Inquiry* 37. 25–49.

Bruening, Benjamin. 2014a. Precede-and-command revisited. *Language* 90. 342–388.

Bruening, Benjamin. 2014b. Defects of defective intervention. *Linguistic Inquiry* 45. 707–19.

Butt, Miriam. 1995. *The structure of complex predicates in Urdu*. Stanford, CA: CSLI.

Cecchetto, Carlo. 2004. Explaining the locality conditions of QR: Consequences for the theory of phases. *Natural Language Semantics* 12. 345–97.

Chesi, Cristiano. 2007. Five reasons for building phrase structures top-down from left to right. *Nanzan Linguistics* 3. 45–80.

Chesi, Cristiano. 2015. On directionality of phrase structure building. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research* 44. 1–50.

Choi, Youngju & James Yoon. 2006. Argument cluster coordination and constituency test (non-)conflicts. NELS 37, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

Chomsky, Noam. 1957. *Syntactic structures*. The Hague: Mouton.

Chomsky, Noam. 1964. The logical basis of linguistic theory. In H. G. Lunt (ed.), *Proceedings of the Ninth International Congress of Linguists*. The Hague: Mouton. 914–77.

Chomsky, Noam. 1965. *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chomsky, Noam. 1968. *Language and mind*. New York: Harcourt Brace & World.

Chomsky, Noam. 1973. Conditions on transformations. In Stephen Anderson & Paul Kiparsky (eds), *A festschrift for Morris Halle*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston. 232–86.

Chomsky, Noam. 1977. On *wh*-movement. In Peter Culicover, Thomas Wasow & Adrian Akmajian (eds), *Formal syntax*. New York: Academic Press. 71–132.

Chomsky, Noam. 1981. *Lectures on government and binding*. Dordrecht: Foris.

Chomsky, Noam. 1982. *Some concepts and consequences of the theory of government and binding*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chomsky, Noam. 1985. *Knowledge of language: Its nature, origin, and use*. New York: Praeger.

Chomsky, Noam. 1986. *Barriers*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chomsky, Noam. 1995. *The minimalist program*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Chomsky, Noam. 2001. Derivation by phase. In Michael Kenstowicz (ed.), Ken Hale: A life in language. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 1–52.

Chomsky Noam. 2008. On phases. In Robert Freidin, Carlos Otero & Maria Luisa Zubizarreta (eds), *Foundational issues in linguistic theory*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 133–66.

Chomsky, Noam. 2013. Problems of projection. *Lingua* 130. 33–49.

Chomsky, Noam. 2015. Problems of projection: Extensions. In Elisa di Domenico, Cornelia Hamann & Simona Matteini (eds), *Structures, strategies and beyond: Studies in honour of Adriana Belletti*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 1–16.

Chomsky, Noam & Howard Lasnik. 1977. Filters and control. *Linguistic Inquiry* 8. 425–504.

Chomsky, Noam & Howard Lasnik. 1993. The theory of principles and parameters. In Joachim Jacobs *et al*. (eds), *Syntax. An international handbook of contemporary research. Vol. I*. Berlin: de Gruyter. 506–69. [Reprinted as chapter 1 of Chomsky 1995.]

Chung, Sandra. 1998. *The design of agreement*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Chung, Sandra & James McCloskey. 1983. On the interpretation of certain island effects in GPSG. *Linguistic Inquiry* 14. 703–14.

Cinque, Guglielmo. 1991. *Types of Ā-dependencies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Collins, Chris. 1991. *Why* and *how come*. In Lisa Cheng & Hamida Demirdache (eds), *More papers on wh-movement: MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 15*. Cambridge, MA: MITWPL. 31–45.

Craenenbroeck, Jeroen van & Marcel den Dikken. 2006. Ellipsis and EPP repair. *Linguistic Inquiry* 37. 653–64.

Csirmaz, Anikó. 2006. Accusative case and aspect. In Katalin É. Kiss (ed.), *Event structure and the left periphery*. Dordrecht: Springer. 159–200.

Culicover, Peter. 1993. Evidence against ECP accounts of *that-t* effect. *Linguistic Inquiry* 24. 557–61.

Culicover, Peter & Michael Rochemont. 1990. Extraposition and the complement principle. *Linguistic Inquiry* 21. 23–47.

Dayal, Veneeta. 1994. Scope marking as indirect wh-dependency. *Natural Language Semantics* 2. 137–70.

de Cuba, Carlos & Barbara Ürögdi. 2009. Eliminating factivity from syntax: Sentential complements in Hungarian. In Marcel den Dikken & Robert Vago (eds), *Approaches to Hungarian*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 29–64.

Diesing, Molly. 1992. *Indefinites*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Dikken, Marcel den. 1987. Secundaire predicatie en de analyse van small clauses. *GLOT* 10. 1–28.

Dikken, Marcel den. 1995. *Particles: On the syntax of verb-particle, triadic and causative constructions*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2001. 'Pluringulars', pronouns and quirky agreement. *The Linguistic Review* 18. 19–41.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2006a. *Relators and linkers: The syntax of predication, predicate inversion, and copulas*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2006b. A reappraisal of vP being phasal – A reply to Legate. Unpublished ms., CUNY Graduate Center.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2006c. *Either*-float and the syntax of co-*or*-dination. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 24. 689–749.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2007a. Phase extension: Contours of a theory of the role of head movement in phrasal extraction. *Theoretical Linguistics* 33. 1–41.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2007b. Questionnaire study on Dutch *that*-trace effects: Stimuli and results. Ms., CUNY Graduate Center; https://www.gc.cuny.edu/CUNY_GC/media/CUNY-Graduate-Center/PDF/Programs/Linguistics/Dikken/dutch_that_trace_results.pdf.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2009a. Arguments for successive-cyclic movement through SpecCP: A critical review. *Linguistic Variation Yearbook* 9. 89–126.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2009b. On the nature and distribution of successive cyclicity. Ms., CUNY Graduate Center.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2010. On the functional structure of locative and directional PPs. In Guglielmo Cinque & Luigi Rizzi (eds), *Mapping spatial PPs. The cartography of syntactic structures, Vol. 6*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press. 74–126.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2013. Predication and specification in the syntax of cleft sentences. In Katharina Hartmann & Tonjes Veenstra (eds), *Cleft structures*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 35–70.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2014. On feature interpretability and inheritance. In Peter Kosta, Steven Franks, Teodora Radeva-Bork & Lilia Schürcks (eds), *Minimalism and beyond: Radicalizing the interfaces*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 37–55.

Dikken, Marcel den. 2015. Raising the subject of the 'object of' relation. In Ángel Gallego & Dennis Ott (eds.), *50 years later: Reflections on Chomsky's* Aspects. Cambridge, MA: MITWPL. 85–98.

Dikken, Marcel den. to appear. Overtly-marked *wh*-paths. In Martin Everaert & Henk van Riemsdijk (eds), *The Blackwell companion to syntax* (second edition). Oxford: Blackwell.

Dikken, Marcel den, André Meinunger, and Chris Wilder. 2000. Pseudoclefts and ellipsis. *Studia Linguistica* 54. 41–89.

Doetjes, Jenny. 1997. Quantifiers and selection. PhD dissertation, University of Leiden/HIL. The Hague: Holland Academic Graphics.

É. Kiss, Katalin. 1993. WH-movement and specificity. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 11. 85–120.

É. Kiss, Katalin. 2004. Egy igekötőelmélet vázlata. *Magyar Nyelv* 100.

Emonds, Joseph. 1976. *A transformational approach to English syntax*. New York: Academic Press.

Engdahl, Elisabet. 1982. Restrictions on unbounded dependencies in Swedish. In Elisabet Engdahl & Eva Ejerhed (eds), *Readings in unbounded dependencies in Scandinavian languages*. Stockholm: Almqvist and Wiksell International. 151–74.

Epstein, Samuel David & T. Daniel Seely. 2002. Rule applications as cycles in a level-free syntax. In Samuel David Epstein & T. Daniel Seely (eds), *Derivation and explanation in the minimalist program*. Oxford: Blackwell. 65–89.

Erlewine, Michael Yoshitaka. 2016. Anti-locality and optimality in Kaqchikel agent focus. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 34. 429–79.

Erteschik-Shir, Nomi. 1973. On the nature of island constraints. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Fanselow, Gisbert. 2006. Partial movement. In Martin Everaert & Henk van Riemsdijk (eds), *The Blackwell companion to syntax*. Vol. III. London: Blackwell.

Fanselow, Gisbert & Damir Ćavar. 2001. Remarks on the economy of pronunciation. In Gereon Müller & Wolfgang Sternefeld (eds), *Competition in syntax*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 107–50.

Farkas, Donka. 2006. Number in Hungarian and beyond. Paper presented at 'The Hungarian Language: Past and Present', UCLA, 5 May 2006.

Farkas, Donka. 1987. DO pro in Hungarian. In István Kenesei (ed.), *Approaches to Hungarian Vol II*. Szeged: JATE Press. 191–213.

Felix, Sascha. 1985. Parasitic gaps in German. In Werner Abraham (ed.), *Erklärende Syntax des Deutschen*. Tübingen: Niemeyer. 173–200.

Felser, Claudia. 2001. *Wh*-expletives and secondary predication: German partial *wh*-movement reconsidered. *Journal of Germanic Linguistics* 13. 5–38.

Fiengo, Robert & James Higginbotham. 1981. Opacity in NP. *Linguistic Analysis* 7. 395–421.

Fodor, Janet Dean. 1978. Parsing strategies and constraints on transformations. *Linguistic Inquiry* 9. 427–73.

Fong, Sandiway. 2005 Computation with probes and goals: A parsing perspective. In Anna-Maria di Sciullo (ed.), *UG and External Systems: Language, brain and computation*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Franck, Julie, Glenda Lassi, Ulrich Frauenfelder & Luigi Rizzi. 2006. Agreement and movement: a syntactic analysis of attraction. *Cognition* 101. 173–216.

Frank, Robert. 2002. *Phrase structure composition and syntactic dependencies*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Frank, Robert. 2006. Phase theory and Tree Adjoining Grammar. *Lingua* 116. 145–202.

George, Leland. 1980. Analogical generalization in natural language syntax. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Gervain, Judit. 2003. Syntactic microvariation and methodology: Problems and perspectives. *Acta Linguistica Hungarica* 50. 405–34.

Gervain, Judit. 2005. Two strategies of focus-raising: Movement and resumption. In Christopher Piñón & Péter Siptár (eds), *Approaches to Hungarian* 9. *Papers from the Düsseldorf conference*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó.

Gervain, Judit. 2009. Resumption in focus(- raising). *Lingua* 119. 687–707.

Grimshaw, Jane. 1979. Complement selection and the lexicon. *Linguistic Inquiry* 10. 270–326.

Grimshaw, Jane. 1990. *Argument structure*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Grimshaw, Jane. 1991. Extended projection. Unpublished manuscript, Brandeis University. [Published in Grimshaw, Jane. 2005. *Words and Structure*. CSLI Lecture Notes Number 151, Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.]

Grimshaw, Jane. 1997. Projection, heads and optimality. *Linguistic Inquiry* 28. 373–422.

Grimshaw, Jane & Sten Vikner. 1993. Obligatory adjuncts and the structure of events. In Eric Reuland & Werner Abraham (eds), *Knowledge and language, Vol. 2: Lexical and conceptual structure*. Dordrecht: Kluwer. 143–55.

Grohmann, Kleanthes. 2003. *Prolific domains: On the anti-locality of movement dependencies*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Gross, Maurice. 1979. On the failure of Generative Grammar. *Language* 55. 859–85.

Guasti, Maria Teresa & Luigi Rizzi. 2002. Agreement and tense as distinctive syntactic positions: Evidence from acquisition. In Guglielmo Cinque (ed.), *Functional structure in DP and IP. The cartography of syntactic structures, Vol. 1*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press. 167–94.

Haegeman, Liliane & Marjo van Koppen. 2012. Complementizer agreement and the relation between C^0 and T^0. *Linguistic Inquiry* 43. 441–54.

Haider, Hubert. 2005. How to turn German into Icelandic – and derive the VO-OV contrasts. *Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics* 8. 1–53.

Haider, Hubert. 2010. Wie wurde Deutsch OV? Zur diachronen Dynamik eines Strukturparameters der germanischen Sprachen. In Arne Ziegler (ed.), *Historische Textgrammatik und Historische Syntax des Deutschen – Traditionen, Innovationen, Perspektiven*. Berlin: De Gruyter. 11–32.

Haider, Hubert. 2013. *Symmetry breaking in syntax*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hale, Kenneth & S. Jay Keyser. 1993. Argument structure and the lexical expression of syntactic relations. In Kenneth Hale & S. Jay Keyser (eds), *The view from Building 20*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 53–109.

Han, Chung-Hye. 2001. Force, negation and imperatives. *The Linguistic Review* 18. 289–325.

Hazout, Ilan. 2004. The syntax of existential constructions. *Linguistic Inquiry* 35. 393–430.

Heck, Fabian. 2010. Against direct recursion in syntax. Ms., Universität Leipzig.

Heycock, Caroline. 2012. Specification, equation, and agreement in copular sentences. *Canadian Journal of Linguistics/Revue canadienne de linguistique* 57. 209–240.

Hoekstra, Teun. 1984. *Transitivity: Grammatical relations in government-binding theory*. Dordrecht: Foris.

Hoekstra, Teun. 1988. Small clause results. *Lingua* 74. 101–39.

Hoekstra, Teun. 2008. *Arguments and structure: Studies on the architecture of the sentence*. Berlin: de Gruyter Mouton.

Hoekstra, Teun & René Mulder. 1990. Unergatives as copular verbs: Locational and existential predication. *The Linguistic Review* 7. 1–79.

Höhle, Tilman. 1996. The *w-...w*-construction: Appositive or scope-indicating? In Uli Lutz & Gereon Müller (eds), *Papers on wh-scope marking*. Universität Stuttgart/Universität Tübingen/IBM Deutschland. 37–58.

Holmberg, Anders & Christer Platzack. 1995. *The role of inflection in Scandinavian syntax*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.

Honcoop, Martin. 1998. Dynamic excursions on weak islands. PhD dissertation, University of Leiden/HIL. The Hague: Holland Academic Graphics.

Hornstein, Norbert. 1999. Movement and control. *Linguistic Inquiry* 30. 69–96.

Hornstein, Norbert & Amy Weinberg. 1981. Case theory and preposition stranding. *Linguistic Inquiry* 12. 55–91.

Horvath, Julia. 1992. The anti-c-command and case-compatibility in the licensing of parasitic chains. *The Linguistic Review* 9. 183–218.

Horvath, Julia. 1997. The status of 'Wh-expletives' and the partial Wh-movement construction in Hungarian. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 15. 509–72.

Huang, C.-T. James. 1993. Reconstruction and the structure of VP: Some theoretical consequences. *Linguistic Inquiry* 24. 103–38.

Huang, C.-T. James. 1982. Logical relations in Chinese and the theory of grammar. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Jackendoff, Ray. 1969. Some rules of semantic interpretation. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Jackendoff, Ray. 1972. *Semantic interpretation in generative grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Jacobs, Joachim. 1982. *Syntax und Semantik der Negation im Deutschen*. München: Fink.

Jacobs, Joachim. 1991. Negation. In Arnim von Stechow & Dieter Wunderlich (eds), *Semantik. Ein internationales Handbuch der zeitgenössigen Forschung*. Berlin: de Gruyter. 560–96.

Janke, Vikki & Ad Neeleman. 2012. Ascending and descending VPs in English. *Linguistic Inquiry* 43. 151–90.

Jánosi, Adrienn. 2014. Long split focus constructions in Hungarian with a view on speaker variaton. PhD dissertation, Catholic University of Leuven.

Jespersen, Otto. 1954. *A Modern English grammar on historical principles*. London: Allen.

Kampen, Jacqueline van. 1997. First steps in wh-movement. PhD dissertation, Utrecht University.

Kampen, Jacqueline van. 2009. The 'phased' learnability of long wh-questions. In J. Crawford, K. Otaki & M. Takahashi (eds), *Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on Generative Approaches to Language Acquisition in North America (GALANA 2008)*. Amherst, MA: Cascadilla Press.

Kandybowicz, Jason. 2006. Comp-trace effects explained away. In Donald Baumer, David Montero & Michael Scanlon (eds), *Proceedings of the 25th West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics*. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Proceedings Project. 220-228.

Kayne, Richard. 1975. *French syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Kayne, Richard. 1984. *Connectedness and binary branching*. Dordrecht: Foris.

Kayne, Richard. 1989. Notes on English agreement. *CIEFL Bulletin* 1. 41–67.

Kayne, Richard. 1991. Romance clitics, verb movement, and PRO. *Linguistic Inquiry* 22. 647–86.

Kayne, Richard. 1994. *The antisymmetry of syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Kayne, Richard. 1998. Class notes. New York: New York University.

Kayne, Richard. 2002. Pronouns and their antecedents. In Samuel David Epstein & T. Daniel Seely (eds), *Derivation and explanation in the Minimalist Program*. Oxford: Blackwell. 133–66.

Kayne, Richard. 2015. The silence of heads. Ms., New York University.

Kayne, Richard. 2016. The unicity of *there* and the definiteness effect. Ms., New York University.

Keresztes, Júlia. 2012. On Hungarian object-drop. MA thesis, Pázmány Péter Katolikus Egyetem.

Kim, Jong-Bok & Peter Sells. 2006. Case assignment in the clause on adjuncts. *Harvard Studies in Korean Linguistics* 11. 507–19. Cambridge, MA: Department of Linguistics, Harvard University.

Kimball, John & Judith Aissen. 1971. I think, you think, he think. *Linguistic Inquiry* 2. 241–46.

Kiparsky, Paul & Carol Kiparsky. 1970. Fact. In Manfred Bierwisch & Karl Erich Heidolph (eds), *Progress in Linguistics*. The Hague: Mouton. [Reprinted in Danny Steinberg & Leon Jakobovits (eds), *Semantics. An interdisciplinary reader*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.]

Ko, Heejeong. 2005. Syntax of *why-in-situ*: Merge into [Spec,CP] in the overt syntax. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 23. 867–916.

Koopman, Hilda. 1983. ECP effects in main clauses. *Linguistic Inquiry* 14: 346–50.

Koster, Jan. 1978. Why subject sentences don't exist. In S. Jay Keyser (ed.), *Recent transformational studies in European languages*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 53–64.

Koster, Jan. 1989. [reference in Zwart book]

Koster, Jan. 2000. Extraposition as parallel construal. Ms., University of Groningen.

Koster, Jan. 2009. IM not perfect: The case against copying. Ms., University of Groningen.

Krifka, Manfred. 2006. Association with focus phrases. In Valéria Molnár & Susanne Winkler (eds), *The architecture of focus*. Mouton de Gruyter. 105–36.

Kroch, Anthony. 1989.. Amount quantification, referentiality, and long wh-movement. Ms., University of Pennsylvania. http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi= 101.1538124&rep=rep1&type=pdf.

Kush, Dave, Akira Omaki & Norbert Hornstein. 2009. Reanalyzing relative clause island effects. Paper presented at GLOW 32, University of Nantes. Slideshow available at: http:// ling.umd.edu//~kush/GLOW_Final.pdf

Laka, Itziar. 1990. Negation in syntax: On the nature of functional categories and projections. PhD dissertation, MIT.Landau, Idan. 2007. Constraints on partial VP-fronting. *Syntax* 10. 127–64.

Larson, Richard. 1988. On the double object construction. *Linguistic Inquiry* 19. 335–91.

Lasnik, Howard. 1975. On the semantics of negation. In D. J. Hockney (ed.), *Contemporary research in philosophical logic and linguistic semantics*. Dordrecht: Reidel. 279–311.

Lasnik, Howard. 1999. Pseudogapping puzzles. In Shalom Lappin & Elabbas Benmamoun (eds), *Fragments. Studies in ellipsis and gapping*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press. 141–74.

Lasnik, Howard & Robert Fiengo. 1974. Complement object deletion. *Linguistic Inquiry* 5. 535–71.

Lasnik, Howard & Mamoru Saito. 1984. On the nature of proper government. *Linguistic Inquiry* 15. 235–89.

Lasnik, Howard & Mamoru Saito. 1992. *Move α: Conditions on its application and output*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Lasnik, Howard & Tim Stowell. 1991. Weakest crossover. *Linguistic Inquiry* 22. 687–720.

Law, Paul. 1991. Effects of head movement on theories of subjacency and proper government. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Lechner, Winfried. 2003. Phrase structure paradoxes, movement and ellipsis. In Kerstin Schwabe & Susanne Winkler (eds), *The interfaces: Deriving and interpreting omitted structures*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 198–203.

Legate, Julie Anne. 2003. Some interface properties of the phase. *Linguistic Inquiry* 34. 506–16.

Legate, Julie Anne. 2011. Under-inheritance. Paper presented at NELS 42, University of Toronto.

Lipták, Anikó. 2001. On the syntax of *wh*-items in Hungarian. PhD dissertation, University of Leiden/HIL.

Lutz, Uli, Gereon Müller & Arnim von Stechow (eds). 2000. *Wh-scope marking*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Maling, Joan. 1993. Of nominative and accusative: The hierarchical assignment ofgrammatical cases in Finnish. In Anders Holmberg & Urpo Nikanne (eds), *Case and other functional categories in Finnish syntax*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 49–74.

Maling, Joan, Jong Sup Kim & Soowon Kim. 2001. Case marking on duration adverbials revisited. In Hee-Don Ahn & Namkil Kim (eds), Selected papers from the 12th International Conference on Korean Linguistics. Seoul: Kyungjin Munhwasa. 323–35.

Maling, Joan & Sigríður Sigurjónsdóttir. 2002. The new impersonal construction in Icelandic. .*Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics* 5. 97–142.

McCloskey, James. 1991. *There*, *it* and agreement. *Linguistic Inquiry* 22. 563–7.

McCloskey, James. 2000. Quantifier float and wh-movement in an Irish English. *Linguistic Inquiry* 31. 57–84.

McDaniel, Dana. 1989. Partial and multiple *wh*-movement. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 6. 565–604.

Melvold, Janis. 1986. Factivity and definiteness. Ms., Department of Linguistics and Philosophy, MIT, Cambridge, MA.

Merchant, Jason. 2001. *The syntax of silence: Sluicing, islands, and the theory of ellipsis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Montalbetti, Mario. 1984. After binding. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Moro, Andrea. 1997. *The raising of predicates: Predicative noun phrases and the theory of clause structure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Mulder, René & Marcel den Dikken. 1992. *Tough* parasitic gaps. In *NELS 22*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts, GLSA. 303–17.

Németh T., Enikő. 2016. Interaction between grammar and pragmatics: The case of implicit subject and direct object arguments in Hungarian language use. Academy Doctoral dissertation, University of Szeged.

Obenauer, Hans-Georg. 1984. On the identification of empty categories. *The Linguistic Review* 4. 153–202.

Obenauer, Hans-Georg. 1994. Aspects de la syntaxe A-barre. Thèse de doctorat d'état, Université de Paris VIII.

Pafel, Jürgen. 1991. Zum relativen Skokpus von W- und Q-Phrasen (W/QInteraktion). In *Arbeitspapiere des Sonderforschungsbereichs 340*, Nr. 2. Universität Tübingen. 33–74.

Pereltsvaig, Asya. 2000. On accusative adverbials in Russian and Finnish. In *The Proceedings of the 15th Annual Conference of the IATL*, Jerusalem. 165–90.

Pesetsky, David. 1982. Paths and categories. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Pesetsky, David. 1995. *Zero syntax: Experiences and cascades*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Pesetsky, David. 2000. *Phrasal movement and its kin*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Pesetsky, David. 2015. Complementizer-trace effects. Ms., MIT; to appear in Martin Everaert & Henk van Riemsdijk (eds), *Companion to syntax*, 2nd edition. Oxford: Wiley Black-well.

Pesetsky, David & Esther Torrego. 2001. T-to-C movement: Causes and consequences. In Michael Kenstowicz (ed.), *Ken Hale: A life in language*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 355–426.

Phillips, Colin. 1996. Order and structure. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Phillips, Colin. 2003. Linear order and constituency. *Linguistic Inquiry* 34. 37–90.

Phillips, Colin & Shevaun Lewis. 2013. Derivational order in syntax: Evidence and architectural consequences. *Studies in Linguistics* 6. 11–47.

Piñón, Christopher. 2001. Töprengtem egyet azon, hogy mit jelent az *egyet*. In Marianne Bakró-Nagy, Zoltán Bánréti & Katalin É. Kiss (eds), *Újabb tanulmányok a strukturális magyar nyelvtan és a nyelvtörténet köréből: Kiefer Ferenc tiszteletére barátai és tanítványai*. Budapest: Osiris. 182–98.

Polinsky, Maria & Eric Potsdam. 2001. Long distance agreement and topic in Tsez. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 19. 583–646.

Pollard, Carl & Ivan Sag. 1992. Anaphors in English and the scope of the binding theory. *Linguistic Inquiry* 23. 261–303.

Pollock, Jean-Yves. 1981. On case and imperson constructions. In Robert May and Jan Koster (eds), *Levels of syntactic representation*. Dordrecht: Foris. 219–52.

Postal, Paul. 1974. *On raising*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Postal, Paul. 1998. *Three investigations of extraction*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Postal, Paul & Geoffrey Pullum. 1988. Expletive noun phrases in subcategorized positions. *Linguistic Inquiry* 19. 635–70.

Preminger, Omer & Maria Polinsky. 2015. Agreement and semantic concord: A spurious unification. Ms., University of Maryland, College Park.

Progovac, Ljiljana. 1994. *Negative and positive polarity: A binding approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Rackowski, Andrea & Norvin Richards. 2005. Phase edge and extraction: A Tagalog case study. *Linguistic Inquiry* 36. 565–99.

Reeve, Matthew. 2012. *Clefts and their relatives*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Reinhart, Tanya. 1976. The syntactic domain of anaphora. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Reis, Marga. 2000. On the parenthetical features of German *was...w*-constructions and how to account for them. In Uli Lutz, Gereon Müller & Arnim von Stechow (eds), *Wh-scope marking*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. 359–407.

Resenes, Mariana & Marcel den Dikken. 2012. Semi-clefts as a window on the syntax of predication and the 'object of' relation. In *Proceedings of CLS 48*. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.

Richards, Norvin. 1997. What moves where when in which language? PhD dissertation, MIT.

Richards, Norvin. 1998. The principle of minimal compliance. *Linguistic Inquiry* 29. 599–629.

Richards, Norvin. 2006. A distinctness condition on linearization. Ms., MIT.

Riemsdijk, Henk van. 1978. *A case study in syntactic markedness: The binding nature of prepositional phrases*. Dordrecht: Foris.

Riemsdijk, Henk van. 1983. Correspondence effects and the Empty Category Principle. In Yukio Otsu *et al.* (eds). *Studies in generative grammar and language acquisition: A report on recent trends in linguistics.* Tokyo: International Christian University.

Riemsdijk, Henk van. 1988. The representation of syntactic categories. In *Proceedings of the Conference on the Basque Language, Basque World Congress*. Vol. I, 104–16.

Riemsdijk, Henk van. 1998. Categorial feature magnetism: The endocentricity and distribution of projections. *Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics* 2. 1–48.

Rizzi, Luigi. 1982. *Issues in Italian syntax*. Dordrecht: Foris.

Rizzi, Luigi. 1990. *Relativized minimality*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Rizzi, Luigi. 2001. On the position Int(errogative) in the left periphery of the clause. In Guglielmo Cinque & Giampaolo Salvi (eds), *Current studies in Italian syntax: Essays offered to Lorenzo Rizzi*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. 287–96.

Rizzi, Luigi. 2004. Locality and left periphery. In Adriana Belletti (ed.), *Structure and beyond*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press. 223–51.

Rizzi, Luigi. 2006. On the form of chains: Criterial positions and ECP effects. In Lisa Cheng & Norbert Corver (eds), *Wh-movement: Moving on*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 97–133.

Rizzi, Luigi. 2007. On some properties of Criterial Freezing. *CISCL Working Papers on Language and Cognition* 1. 145–58.

Rizzi, Luigi. 2013. Cartography, criteria, and labeling, Ms., Universities of Geneva and Siena.

Roberts, Ian. 2004. The C-system in Brythonic Celtic languages, V2 and the EPP. In Luigi Rizzi (ed.), *The structure of CP and IP. The cartography of syntactic structures, Vol. 2*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 297–328.

Ross, John Robert. 1967. Constraints on variables in syntax. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Ross, John Robert. 1984. Inner islands. *Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*. University of California, Berkeley: Berkeley Linguistic Society. 258–65.

Rothstein, Susan. 1995. Pleonastics and the interpretation of pronouns. *Linguistic Inquiry* 26. 499–529.

Rudin, Catherine. 1988. On multiple questions and multiple *wh*-fronting. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 6. 445–501.

Salzmann, Martin. 2006. Resumptive prolepsis. PhD dissertation, University of Leiden/HIL.

Schachter, Paul. 1981. Lovely to look at. *Linguistic Analysis* 8. 431–48.

Schneider-Zioga, Patricia. 2009. Wh-agreement and bounded unbounded movement. In José Brucart, Anna Gavarró & Jaume Solà (eds), *Merging features: Computation, interpretation, and acquisition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 46–59.

Schütze, Carson. 1999. English expletive constructions are not infected. *Linguistic Inquiry* 30. 467–84.

Sells, Peter. 1984. Syntax and semantics of resumptive pronouns. PhD dissertation, UMass Amherst.

Shlonsky, Ur & Gabriela Soare. 2011. Where's *why*? *Linguistic Inquiry* 42. 651–69.

Sichel, Ivy. 2015. Anatomy of a counterexample: Extraction from relative clauses. Ms., The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Sigurðsson, Halldór Á. 2006. The Nom/Acc alternation in Germanic. Ms., Lund University.

Sobin, Nicholas. 1987. The variable status of comp-trace phenomena. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 5. 33–60.

Sobin, Nicholas. 1997. Agreement, default rules, and grammatical viruses. *Linguistic Inquiry* 28. 318–43.

Sportiche, Dominique. 1988. A theory of floating quantifiers and its corollaries for constituent structure. *Linguistic Inquiry* 19. 425–49.

Sprouse, Jon, Ivano Caponigro, Ciro Greco & Carlo Cecchetto. 2016. Experimental syntax and the variation of island effects in English and Italian. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 34. 307–44.

Stabler, Edward. 2013. Two models of minimalist, incremental syntactic analysis. *Topics in Cognitive Science* 5. 611–33.

Starke, Michal. 2001. Move dissolves into merge: A theory of locality. PhD dissertation, University of Geneva.

Stepanov, Arthur & Wei-Tien Dylan Tsai. 2008. Cartography and licensing of *wh*-adjuncts: A cross-linguistic perspective. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 26. 569–638.

Stowell, Tim. 1981. Origins of phrase structure. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Stowell, Tim. 1983. Subjects across categories. *The Linguistic Review* 2. 285–312.

Stowell, Tim. 1986. Null antecedents and proper government. In S. Berman, J.-W. Choe & J. McDonough (eds), *Proceedings of the North East Linguistic Society 16*. Amherst, MA: GLSA. 476–93.

Stowell, Tim. 1991. Small clause restructuring. In Robert Freidin (ed.), *Principles and parameters in comparative grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Svenonius, Peter. 2010. Spatial P in English. In Guglielmo Cinque & Luigi Rizzi (eds), *Mapping spatial PPs. The cartography of syntactic structures, Vol. 6*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press. 127–60.

Swart, Henriëtte de. 1992. Intervention effects, monotonicity and scope. In Chris Barker & David Dowty (eds), *Proceedings of SALT II*. Columbus, OH: OSU WLP 40. 387–406.

Szabolcsi, Anna & Marcel den Dikken. 2003. Islands. In Lisa Cheng & Rint Sybesma (eds), *The second Glot International state-of-the-article book*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. 213–40.

Szucsich, Luka. 2001. Case licensing and nominal adverbials in Slavic. Paper presented at FASL10; ms., University of Leipzig. http://amor.cms.hu-berlin.de/~szucsicl/x.fasl-10.pdf

Taraldsen, Knut Tarald. 1981. The theoretical interpretation of a class of marked extractions. In Adriana Belletti, Luciana Brandi & Luigi Rizzi (eds), *Theory of markedness in generative grammar*. Pisa: Scuola Normale Superiore. 475–516.

Thornton, Rosalind. 1990. Adventures in long-distance moving: The acquisition of complex wh-questions. PhD dissertation, University of Connecticut, Storrs.

Thornton, Rosalind. 1995. Referentiality and *wh*-movement: Juvenile D-linkuency. *Language Acquisition* 4. 139–75.

Tortora, Christina & Marcel den Dikken. 2009. Subject agreement variation: support for the configurational approach. *Lingua* 120. 1089–1108.

Truswell, Robert. 2011. *Events, phrases, and questions*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Uriagereka, Juan. 1999. Multiple spell-out. In Samuel David Epstein & Norbert Hornstein (eds), *Working minimalism*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 251–282.

Urk, Coppe van & Norvin Richards. 2015. Two components of long-distance extraction: Successive cyclicity in Dinka. *Linguistic Inquiry* 46. 113–55.

Vergnaud, Jean-Roger. 1974. French relative clauses. PhD dissertation, MIT.

Vries, Mark de. 2009. Specifying coordination: An investigation into the syntax of dislocation, extraposition and parenthesis. In Cynthia Dreyer (ed.), *Language and linguistics: Emerging trends*. New York: Nova. 37–98.

Wanner, Eric & Michael Maratsos. 1978. An ATN approach in comprehension. In Morris Halle, Joan Bresnan & George A. Miller (eds), *Linguistic theory and psychological reality*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 119–61.

Watanabe, Akira. 1992. Subjacency and S-structure movement of *wh*-in-situ. *Journal of East Asian Linguistics* 1. 255–91.

Wechsler, Stephen & Yae-Sheik Lee. 1996. The domain of direct case assignment. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 14. 629–64.

Wilder, Christopher. 1991. *Tough*-movement constructions. *Linguistische Berichte* 132. 115–32.

Williams, Edwin. 1983. Against small clauses. *Linguistic Inquiry* 14. 287–308.

Williams, Edwin. 1994. *Thematic structure in syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Williams, Edwin. 2006. The subject-predicate theory of *there*. *Linguistic Inquiry* 37. 648–51.

Wurff, Wim van der. 1988. A remarkable gap in the history of English syntax. *Folia Linguistica Historica* 9. 117–59.

Wurmbrand, Susanne. 2003. *Infinitives*. Berlin: de Gruyter Mouton.

Yoshida, Masaya, Chizuru Nakao & Ivan Ortega-Santos. 2015. The syntax of *why* stripping. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 33. 323–70.

Zaenen, Annie, Joan Maling and Höskuldur Thráinsson. 1985. Case and grammatical functions: The Icelandic passive. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 3. 441–83.

Zwart, Jan-Wouter. 2002. Issues related to a derivational theory of binding. In Samuel David Epstein & T. Daniel Seely (eds), *Derivation and explanation in the Minimalist Program*. Oxford: Blackwell. 269–304.

Zwart, Jan-Wouter. 2015. Precede-and-command revisited revisited. *Language* 91. 169–78.

Zwicky, Arnold. 2007. Whom shall I say [___ is calling]? *Language Log* post, 23 January 2007. http://itre.cis.upenn.edu/~myl/languagelog/archives/004084.html.